

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,324	09/15/2003	Harold D. Beck	03-11	3791
30699 DAYCO PROI	7590 10/01/2008 DUCTS LLC	EXAMINER		
1 PRESTIGE I	PLACE	AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER		
MIAMISBUR	G, OH 45342		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,324	BECK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1794	

	WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1794						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding enternal of a fine file. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	italia da constanti di constant	51 TC 4 1.57 (a).						
3. \( \sum \) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) \( \sum \) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) \( \sum \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancell non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the co		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.8.10.21-25.27 and 28.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of fling a bla	tion of Annualill not	be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:								
/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments in claim 24 (lines 1 and 10) present new issues that require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, new claims 29 and 30 present new issues that require further consideration and/or search cause the particular combinations of the subject matter of claims 29 and 24, and the subject matter of claims 30 and 10, have not been presented until this after-final amendment.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the amendment after the non-final rejection did not necessitate the new grounds of rejection, and therefore that the previous Office Atlant should not have been made final, but Applicant's deletion of 'essentially from the phrase 'consisting essentially of' from independent claims 1 and 10 in the amendment after the non-final rejection necessitated the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 10, 21-24, 27 and 28 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The rejection of record is maintained for the reasons of record.